South Valley University

Faculty of Science

Geology Department

Practical Geochemistry course

For

4th year Geology, Geophysics, and Geochemistry

Lecturer: Dr. Taha Amron

Teaching assistant: Mr. Mahmoud Ahmed

Geology department, Faculty of Science, South Valley University







Plan of practical Geochemistry course

week	Content
Week one	Introduction
Week two	Using major element data (Classifying igneous rocks using oxide-oxide plots)
Week Three	Using major element data (Classifying igneous rocks using cations)
Week four	Using major element data (Classifying igneous rocks using norms)
Week five	Using major element data (Classifying igneous rocks using norms)
Week six	Using major element data (Classifying igneous rocks using norms)
Week seven	Mid-semester exam
Week eight	Variation diagrams
Week nine	Using trace element data (Discrimination diagrams for rocks of basaltic and andesitic composition)
Week ten	Using trace element data (Discrimination diagrams for rocks of granitic composition
Week Eleven	Presenting and Analysis of geochemical data using GCDKIT software.
Week Twelve	Presenting and Analysis of geochemical data using GCDKIT software.







Week one

Introduction

<u>Geochemistry</u>: is the science that uses the tools and principles of chemistry to explain the mechanisms behind major geological systems such as the Earth's crust and its oceans.

Geochemical data: major elements, trace elements, radiogenic isotopes, and stable isotopes.

Major elements: are the elements that predominate in any rock analysis. They are Si, Ti, Al, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ca, Na, K, and P, and their concentrations are expressed as the weight percent of oxides.

Trace elements: those elements which are present at less than 0.1 % and their concentrations are expressed as part per millions.

Analytical techniques in geochemistry:

- 1- X-RAY Fluorescence (XRF)
- 2- Neutron activation analysis
- 3- Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP)
- 4- Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS)
- 5- Mass spectrometry
- 6- Electron microprobe analysis

Preparation of samples for geochemical analysis:

- 1- Collecting samples from the field with emphasis on the freshness of samples.
- 2- Preparing thin sections of the collected samples.
- 3- Microscopic examination of the samples and choosing the freshest samples.
- 4- Crushing the samples with avoiding contamination during the process.
- 5- Grinding the crushed products to get a suitable powder that can be used for geochemical analysis.



.





Table 1.5 Elements readily analysed by XRF, INAA, IDMS, AAS, ICP and ICP-MS

Atomic Symbol Element No.		ymbol Element No.	XRF	INAA	IDMS	AAS	ICP	ICP-MS
1	н	Hydrogen					1.002	
2	He	Helium						- I - I
3	Li	Lithium		1 1		x	x	x
4	Be	Beryllium			12.15	x	120	
5	B	Boron			- 19			x
6	C	Carbon						
7	N	Nitrogen						
8	0	Oxygen						
9	F	Fluorine			2,04, 190	1		
10	Ne	Neon						1
11	Na	Sodium	x			x	x	
12	Mg	Magnesium	x		9.623	x	x	
13	Al	Aluminium	x	1	14-12-1	x	x	
14	Si	Silicon	x	1		x	x	
15	P	Phosphorus	x	1			x	
16	S	Sulphur _	x		32.17	1. 19		
17	Cl	Chlorine	x		· · · ·			<u></u>
18	Ar	Argon						
19	ĸ	Potassium	x			x	x	
20	Ca	Calcium	x		- 3 I	x	x	
21	Sc	Scandium	x	x	- 10 B		x	x
22	Ti	Titanium	x	1		x	x	1.0
23	v	Vanadium	x			x	x	
24	Cr	Chromium	x	x		x	x	1
25	Мп	Manganese	x		35367	x	x	1
26	Fe	Iron	x		- 26	x	x	
27	Co	Cobalt	x	x	2	x	x	1
28	Ni	Nickel	x	x	1.1	x	T	1
29	Cu	Copper	x		See all	2	Ŷ	1
30	Zn	Zinc	x		5 5	, and a second s	x	
31	Ga	Gallium	x	1			-	
32	Ge	Germanium	x	1				
33	As	Arsenic	x	2				
34	Se	Selenium	-		1	30 L		
35	Br	Bromine			1.1	· · · · ·		
36	Kr	Krypton		1	- 1200 (AS ¹⁷			
37	Rh	Rubidium		1				
38	Sr	Strontium	÷	1	2	1 2	-	1
30	v	Varium	-		^	1 n în	-	A A
40	7.	Zirconium	^	1			*	x
41	Nh	Niebium	2			1.1.1		x
47	Mo	Molubdenum	^	1			x	x
42	Ta	Technotium	10.803		1.10.14			
44	P	Ruthenium	1		1.12.12.1			
45	DL	Ruthentum		x		4.12		
10	P.4	Palladium	1 C - Sa	1 -		1.5		
40	Pa A	Cilum		x				
40	Ag	Cadalian	Sec.	x	10 A 1			
40	Ca	Ladmium	1. 10			1.1.1		1
49	In	Indium	1.1	1		1 - 4 - 12		
50	Sn	in	x	1 1 1 5	1.1	1 1 1 1		
51	Sb	Antimony	1.15	1.00	1000	-		
52	Te	Tellurium	294898750000000	+1 80×				
53	1	lodine	1000000000	1				
54	Xe	Xenon	1	1 .	1	1	1	L

Geology department, Faculty of Science, South Valley University







Table 1.5 Continued

Ato	mic Sy	mbol Element No.	XRF	INAA	IDMS	AAS	ICP	ICPMS
55	Cs	Caesium	x					x
56	Ba	Barium	x			x	x	x
57	La	Lanthanum	x	x	x		x	x
58	Ce	Cerium	x	x	x		x	x
59	Pr	Praseodymium		1			x	x
60	Nd	Neodymium	x	x	x		x	x
61	Pm	Promethium						
62	Sm	Samarium	x	x	x		x	x
63	Eu	Europium		x	x		x	x
64	Gd	Gadolinium		x	x	6	x	x
65	Tb	Terbium		x				x
66	Dy	Dysprosium		x	x		x	x
67	Ho	Holmium				S.	x	x
68	Er	Erbium		1	x	1	x	x
69	Tm	Thulium	1	x			1	x
70	Yb	Ytterbium		x	x		x	x
71	Lu	Lutetium	1	x	x		x	x
72	Hf	Hafnium	1	x	1		1	x
73	Ta	Tantalum	1000	x				x
74	w	Tungsten		1		1	1	
75	Re	Rhenium		x				
76	Os	Osmium		x	1.00	1	1	x
77	Ir	Iridium		x			1	
78	Pt	Platinum		x			1	
79	Au	Gold		x	1			1
80	Hg	Mercury	1			1	1	1
81	TI	Thallium				1		
82	РЬ	Lead	x	1	x	x		x
83	Bi	Bismuth		- i		1000	1	
84	Po	Polonium			1	1		
85	At	Astatine	1	1	1	1		
86	Rn	Radon	1	1	1	-	1	1
87	Fr	Francium	ł		1	(a) (b)	1	1
88	8 Ra	Radium						
89	Ac	Actinium				1		
90) Th	Thorium	x	x	x			x
9	l Pa	Proactinium						
9	2 U	Uranium	x	x	x			x







Week two

Classifying igneous rocks using oxide-oxide plots

Problem1: You have the major element data for some samples in the table below. Plot the samples using the attached classification diagrams and write a brief geochemical report.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
SiO ₂	73.27	72.19	70.04	51.96	51.62	53.41	65.8	66.9	66.4
Al ₂ O ₃	13.84	14.11	14.62	17.08	16.74	16.80	15.2	15.9	15.1
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.13	2.47	3.23	7.72	8.15	7.02	4.28	4.33	5.11
MgO	0.42	0.45	0.58	5.72	6.05	6.75	1.5	1.64	2.04
CaO	0.69	0.87	1.44	9.85	10.06	8.41	4.15	4.17	4.31
Na ₂ O	4.69	4.99	4.89	3.53	3.40	4.01	4.3	4.49	4.11
K ₂ O	3.50	3.33	3.00	0.72	0.72	0.65	1.85	1.62	1.72
TiO ₂	0.33	0.38	0.43	0.99	1.07	0.79	0.46	0.47	0.57
P ₂ O ₅	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.121	0.14	0.113
MnO	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.08
Na ₂ O+K ₂ O									

















Middlemost (1985)















Geochemical report







Week three

Classifying igneous rocks using cations

De la Roche et al. (1980) proposed a classification scheme for volcanic and plutonic igneous rocks based upon their cationic proportions expressed as millications.

R1 = (4Si - 11(Na + K) - 2(Fe + Ti))	on the X-axis
R2 = (Al + 2 Mg + 6 Ca)	on the Y-axis.

Jensen (1979) suggested a ternary classification scheme for sub-alkaline volcanic rocks according to their cationic percentages Al, (Fe^{tot} + Ti) and Mg

How to calculate cationic proportions and cationic percentages

(You are given the weight percent of the oxides and molecular weight)

- 1- Calculate the molecular proportions by dividing the weight percent of oxides by molecular weight.
- 2- Determine the number of cations for each oxide (e.g. SiO₂ No. of cations =1 and Fe₂O₃ No.=2 etc.).
- 3- Calculate the cationic proportions by multiplying the number of cations by molecular proportions
- 4- Calculate millications (used for De La Roche diagram) by multiplying cationic proportions by 1000
- 5- Calculate the cationic percentage (used for Jensen classification) by dividing the cationic proportions by its sum and multiplying by 100.

Problem 2: You have the major element data for two samples in the table below.

- 1- Calculate the R1 and R2 cationic proportions for the two samples and plot them using the classification diagram of De La Roche et al. (1980).
- 2- Calculate the cationic percentage for the two samples and plot them using the classification diagram of Jensen (1976).

No	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅	MnO
1	68.03	14.83	3.79	1.20	2.65	4.53	2.72	0.56	0.11	0.09
2	51.96	17.08	7.72	5.72	9.85	3.53	0.72	0.99	0.11	0.14
Mol. Wt	60	102	160	40	56	62	94	80	142	71



























Classifying igneous rocks using norms

The norm calculation is a way of working out the mineralogy of rock from chemical analysis.

Norms are commonly calculated for glassy or fine-grained volcanic rocks that are otherwise difficult to classify, and for metamorphosed igneous rocks that no longer have their original igneous mineralogy. The normative 'minerals' are calculated to represent, in some ways, the actual minerals that might crystallize if the rock were cooled under perfect dry equilibrium conditions at low pressure. The calculation is based on the following assumptions:

- 1- The magma from which the rock is derived is assumed to be "dry" so hydrous phases such as amphibole and mica are ignored by the calculation.
- 2- The ferromagnesian minerals are assumed to be free of Al2O3 so that the amount of that component can be used to fix the abundance of feldspar and feldspathoid in the norm.
- 3- The magnesium/iron ratio of all of the ferromagnesian minerals is assumed to be the same.
- 4- Several mineral pairs are considered to be incompatible, such as feldspathoids and quartz, and therefore never appear together in the norm although in real rocks that may be present.

Norm: the calculated mineralogy

Mode: the observed mineralogy

The sequence of normative mineral formation in silica-saturated magma

1- Apatite	CaO.3.33 P ₂ O ₅
2- Ilmenite	FeO.TiO ₂
3- Orthoclase	K2O.Al2O3.6SiO2
4- Albite	Na ₂ O.Al ₂ O ₃ .6SiO ₂
5- Acmite	Na ₂ O.Fe ₂ O ₃ .4SiO ₂
6- Anorthite	CaO.Al ₂ O ₃ .2SiO ₂
7- Sphene	CaO.TiO ₂ .SiO ₂
8- Rutile	TiO ₂
9- Corundum	Al_2O_3
10- Magnetite	Fe ₂ O ₃ .FeO
11-Hematite	Fe_2O_3
12-Diopside	CaO.(Fe, Mg)O.2SiO ₂
13-Wollastonite	CaO.SiO ₂
14-Hyperthene	(Fe, Mg)O.SiO ₂
15- Quartz	SiO ₂







Problem 4: You have the major element data for a sample in the table below.

Oxides	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na₂O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅	MnO	Total
Wt %	73.27	13.84	2.13	0.42	0.69	4.69	3.50	0.33	0.05	0.03	
Mol. Wt	60	102	160	40	56	62	94	80	142	71	
Mol.prop											

- 1- Calculate the normative minerals.
- 2- Calculate the weight percent of the normative minerals
- 3- Give a brief comment on the nature of the magma based on the presence of characteristic normative minerals assemblage.
- 4- Give the suitable nomenclature for the sample using the attached classification diagrams (O'Conner 1965).







O'Connor (1965)



Geology department, Faculty of Science, South Valley University







Week five

Classifying igneous rocks using norms

Problem 5: You have the major element data for a sample in the table below.

Oxides	SiO ₂	AI_2O_3	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P_2O_5	MnO	Total
Wt %	51.96	17.08	7.72	5.72	9.85	3.53	0.72	0.99	0.11	0.14	
Mol. wt	60	102	160	40	56	62	94	80	142	71	
Mol.											
prop											

- 1- Calculate the normative minerals.
- 2- Calculate the weight percent of the normative minerals
- 3- Give a brief comment on the nature of the magma based on the presence of characteristic normative minerals assemblage.







Classifying igneous rocks using norms

When the calculated silica is greater than the total silica, this means that the rock is silica undersaturated. In this case, the lately formed silicate minerals will be decomposed to new silicates consuming less silica than the previously formed silicates.

Oxides	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na₂O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅	MnO	Total
Wt % (1)	44.52	10.54	13.45	15.28	9.76	2.12	1.15	2.65	0.34	0.18	
Wt % (2)	49.38	11.65	10.54	5.06	15.47	3.94	0.48	1.11	0.14	0.22	
Mol. wt	60	102	160	40	56	62	94	80	142	71	
Mol.											
prop											

Problem 6: You have the major element data for a sample in the table below.

- 1- Calculate the normative minerals.
- 2- Calculate the weight percent of the normative minerals
- 3- Give a brief comment on the nature of the magma based on the presence of characteristic normative minerals assemblage.







Week seven

Mid-semester exam







<u>Week eight</u>

Variation diagrams

A diagram was constructed by plotting the chemical compositions of rocks in an igneous rock series to show the genetic relationships and the nature of the processes that have affected the series. Also known as the Harker diagram.

Problem 7: You have the major element data for ten samples in the table below.

wt.%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SiO ₂	45.51	47.36	48.35	49.00	50.09	52.45	56.17	56.87	61.01	61.22
TiO ₂	3.52	3.30	2.82	2.73	2.48	2.29	1.61	1.40	0.68	1.00
Al_2O_3	15.24	16.32	16.01	16.33	16.83	16.09	17.13	16.96	17.14	17.10
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.64	4.64	5.87	2.35	1.65	5.02	2.91	3.88	5.09	2.03
FeO	8.84	6.89	5.37	8.67	8.80	4.19	4.79	3.93	1.21	4.06
$\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3\mathrm{t}}$										
MgO	5.80	4.82	4.30	4.00	3.31	2.67	1.73	1.57	0.76	0.92
CaO	10.40	9.30	9.04	8.70	8.50	7.49	5.20	4.83	3.33	3.28
Na ₂ O	4.54	4.63	5.32	4.98	5.31	6.11	6.33	6.47	7.07	6.61
K ₂ O	1.09	1.49	1.14	1.66	1.39	1.64	2.22	2.43	2.87	3.05
P ₂ 0 ₅	0.20	0.38	0.46	0.54	0.63	0.68	0.73	0.80	0.94	1.00

- 1- What is the Peacock alkali-lime index for this group of rocks?
- 2- Calculate the solidification index for each sample.
- 3- Construct variation diagrams.
- 4- What can you conclude about the magmatic evolution of this group of rocks?







<u>Week nine</u>

Discrimination diagrams for tectonic environments

Problem 7: You have the trace element data for six granitic rocks in the table below.

Sample	Ве	Со	Cs	Ga	Nb	Rb	Sn	Sr	Та	Th	U	w	Zr	Y	La
1	2	2.2	0.9	20.3	12.4	37.7	4	110.3	1.2	7.4	3.6	0.5	321.3	42.9	28.9
2	2	2.0	0.7	17.9	12.2	34.6	3	103.5	0.8	5.8	2.0	<0.5	336.1	38.0	30.6
3	4	1.9	0.5	19.5	12.9	37.8	4	110.7	0.9	6.8	2.8	1.0	339.5	43.0	33.2
4	3	4.1	1.0	18.0	11.2	31.5	2	273.5	0.7	4.8	1.8	0.5	400.1	36.2	26.4
5	2	3.3	0.5	18.3	10.2	36.3	2	150.4	0.7	5.0	2.2	<0.5	327.6	34.9	24.3
6	2	6.7	0.8	17.4	8.3	39.5	2	270.5	0.7	4.0	1.5	0.8	266.2	30.1	23.0

It's required to determine their tectonic environments by using the attached discrimination diagrams. (Pearce et al.,1984).























Geochemical report